

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/701,328		11/03/2003	Ernest Allen III	03-1781/LSI1P237	03-1781/LSI1P237 4927	
24319	7590	12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
LSI LOGIC		-	DANG, PHUC T			
1621 BARB MS: D-106	EK LANE	<u>.</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS, CA 95035				2818		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. Av				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/701,328	ALLEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PHUC T DANG	2818				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or or other subjects.	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		eater Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,328

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Group I, Claims 1-8 and 14-20, drawn to a method for manufacturing integrated circuit, classified in class 438, subclass 14.
- II. Group II, Claims 9-13, drawn to an apparatus for etching feature in a dielectric layer, classified in class 257, subclass 411.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions I and II are related as method of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group I invention, since the device of Group II invention could be made by a product different from those of the Group II invention. However, the issues of method and product claims are divergent. Furthermore, there may be some overlap in the searches of the two groups, but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be identical. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two inventions together, restriction of distinct inventions is clearly proper.
- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined eventhough the requirement be traverse (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

PD Langshur

Phuc T. Dang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818

•